

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/543,782	04/06/2000	D. Zucker-Franklin	ZUCKER-FRANKLIN=1A	8307
1444	7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER	
	AND NEIMARK, P.L	STRZELECKA, TERESA E		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303 1637			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/543,782	ZUCKER-FRANKLIN ET AL.
Lxammer-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Teresa E Strzelecka	1637
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>All</u>	<u>owed</u>
(1) <u>Teresa E Strzelecka</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Sheridan Neimark</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 September 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview.
	•	
Taesa Stretectia 9/2/04		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A printer rush query was issued for this case on August 10, 2004, because replacement figures 3A, 3B and 8, submitted on March 17, 2003, were not scanned into the case. Mr. Neimark was asked if he could provide copies of the replacement figures. The figures were received by fax on September 2, 2004.